|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CRITERIA** | **SCORE** | | | | | |
| **EBP Proposal** | **N/A** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** |
| **Title & Abstract:** Clearly & accurately reflects problem/purpose, methods & relevance of proposal |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Background & Significance:** Concise review **and** critique of current relevant empirical literature. Practice problem & significance to neonatal care clearly supported. Need for practice improvement justified. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Purpose of the Project:** Clarity of purpose with well-defined & specific identification of outcomes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Preliminary Data:** Extent to which preliminary data/previous work relates to proposed practice change and demonstrates their capacity for completing the project. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Design** Extent to which the design addresses the project purpose. Specifies model and clearly describes specific steps/processes to be used to implement and evaluate the practice change. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Design:** Incorporates preferences of population of interest and how barriers will be addressed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Sample:** Clearly identified sample characteristics and rationale for sample size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Setting:** Identified characteristics of the setting with support that desired sample can be recruited in clearly specified time frame |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Outcomes and Measures:** Clear description of each outcome measure, including number of items in the tool, scoring and range of responses. If using a standardized instrument includes reliability/validity or sensitivity/specificity information as appropriate. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Analysis of outcomes:** Analytic plan is described for each specific outcome. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Timeline table:** Clearly identifies in a table the activities that will occur over the funding period, including lines for planning, implementation steps of the practice change, data collection, data analysis, and preparations of manuscripts and/or presentations*.* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Data Plan:** Clearly described plan for storing, managing & protecting data, including how data will be analyzed to address each research question/ hypothesis. Identifies a plan that assures statistical support |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Dissemination:** Describes a plan for disseminating findings, including expected impact on practice & research. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Human Subjects:** Identifies, summarizes, and addresses potential risks to participants. If applicable, clearly outlines a plan for IRB approval from appropriate institution including how study personnel will obtain federally-required Training in the Responsible Conduct of Research |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Reference List:** Comprehensive list of all literature cited including key publications related to topic. Adherence to citation format specified for the NANN journal *Advances in Neonatal Care* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Consistency of topic with NANN mission and research agenda** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Innovation:** Extent to which proposal is innovative and shows originality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Feasibility:** Extent to which project is feasible within the specified time frame, within limitations of project site for recruitment, and within budget and funding resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Application is well-written & organized** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Overall Quality Score of Research Plan** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **TOTAL**  **(possible points = \_\_\_)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Personnel/Investigator Criteria** |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| **Principal Investigator/Co-Investigator Qualifications** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Mentoring Plan:** Identifies mentor/mentee with clear description of goals for the experience and frequency of meetings |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Budget Within Specified Limits** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Budget Justification Appropriate** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Institution & Team Commitment** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **TOTAL**  **(possible points = \_\_\_)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**NANN Research Grant Proposal Score Sheet**

Scoring : 1 = inadequate, 2 = below average, 3 = average, 4 = above average, 5 = superior

Components that are not applicable (N/A) for a given proposal are not included in the total possible score

**GRAND TOTAL : \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_/ \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS**

|  |
| --- |
| **Reviewer Comments/Suggestions for Improvement:** |